Statement

Details regarding the number of Peafowl & Sarus Crane found dead during 2000-2001

Sl. No.	Name of the State	Species	Year	
			2000	2001
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Delhi	Peafowl		7
2.	Gujarat	Peafowl	4	
3.	Haryana	Peafowl	10	3
4.	Madhya Pradesh	Peafowl	46	21
5.	Maharashtra	Peafowl		7
6.	Rajasthan	Peafowl	18	114
7.	Rajasthan	Sarus Crane	12	
	TOTAL :		90	152

Funds under National River Conservation Programme

*548. DR. (Ms.) P. SELVIE DAS: Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of amount released to various States under National River Conservation Programme and other Schemes, since 1998-99;

(b) whether Karnataka Government has forwarded any proposal for release of funds under this Programme, to take up work of Environment Action Plan for Bangalore city; and

(c) if so, the details of the project and the action taken by Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI T. R. BAALU): (a) to (c) The National River Conservation Plan (NRCP) covers pollution abatement works in 152 towns along the polluted stretches of 27 rivers spread over 16 states. The total amount released year-wise by the Union Government to various States under the National River Conservation Plan since 1998 is as follows:—

(Rs. in lakhs, as on 31.03.2002)

S.No.	Action Plan/State	1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	Total
A.	**NATIONAL RIVER CONSERVATION PLAN**					
1	A.P.	0.00	677.89	0.00	113.00	790.89
2	Bihar	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00
3	Gujarat	220.00	1713.62	500.00	977.00	3410.62
4	Karnataka	90.00	435.65	309.00	0.00	834.65
5	Maharashtra	0.00	233.00	1199.88	1531.20	2964.08
6	M.P.	500.00	1150.27	698.43	0.00	2348.70
7	Orissa	0.00	0.00	77.00	300.00	377.00
8	Punjab	500.00	1295.00	651.80	1507.00	3953.80
9	Rajasthan	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00
10	Tamil Nadu			90.00	649.57	2896.00
7401.80		11037.37				
	TOTAL	**1400.00**	**6205.00**	**6432.11**	**11830.00**	**25867.11**
B.	**GANGA ACTION-PLAN PHASE-II**					
(i)	**YAMUNA ACTION PLAN**					
1	Delhi	200.00	125.00	67.50	0.00	392.50
2	U.P.	4700.00	5410.00	1670.00	722.00	12502.00
3	Haryana	2650.00	1482.00	697.00	0.00	4829.00
	Consultants	151.55	184.73	55.46	75.87	467.61
	TOTAL	**7701.55**	**7201.73**	**2489.96**	**797.87**	**18191.11**
EXTENDED PHASE (YAP)						
1	Delhi				7240.22	7240.22
2	U.P.				2808.91	2808.91
3	Haryana				2300.00	2300.00
	TOTAL	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**12349.13**	**12349.13**
	TOTAL (YAP)	**7701.55**	**7201.73**	**2489.96**	**13147.00**	**30540.24**
(ii)	**GOMTI ACTION PLAN**					
1	U.P.	0.00	118.22	658.00	500.00	1276.22
	TOTAL	**0.00**	**118.22**	**658.00**	**500.00**	**1276.22**
(iii)	DAMODAR ACTION-PLAN					
1	Bihar	0.00	0.00	186.12	0.00	186.12
2	W.B.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	**0.00**	**0.00**	**186.12**	**0.00**	**186.12**

S.No.	Action Plan/State	1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	Total
(iv)	**GANGA ACTION-PLAN-II (MAIN STEM)**					
1	U.P.	500.00	1318.29	1737.60	1207.00	4762.89
2	Bihar	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00
3	W.B.	400.00	400.00	150.00	500.00	1450.00
	CETP				1141.00	1141.00
	TOTAL	**900.00**	**1718.29**	**1987.60**	**2848.00**	**7453.89**
(v)	**GANGA ACTION-PLAN PHASE-II (SUPREME COURT TOWNS)**					
1	U.P.	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	150.00
2	Bihar	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	W.B.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	**150.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**150.00**
	GAP-II (TOTAL)	**8751.55**	**9038.24**	**5321.68**	**16495.00**	**39606.47**
	G. TOTAL (A+B)	**10151.55**	**15243.24**	**11753.79**	**28325.00**	**65473.58**

The Government of Karnataka has forwarded a proposal of Environment Action-Plan for Bangalore city under the National River Conservation Plan. The proposal is for laying of about 32 Km. long sewers at an estimated amount of Rs. 46.27 crore and will be considered based on pollution load and availability of funds in the X Five Year Plan.

Sale of xeroxed application forms by Kendriya Vidyalaya, Pushp Vihar

*549. SHRI KALRAJ MISHRA: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Kendriya Vidyalaya, Pushp Vihar, M.B. Road, New Delhi, has sold a large number of application forms for admission in 2002-2003;

(b) whether it is a fact that the school has sold xerox copies of forms, which is against the applicable rules;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the school put up a Notice Board on 1st April, 2002 on its entrance, saying that there is no vacancy from II to IX classes; and

(d) if so, the reasons for issuing a large number of application forms without offering a single seat from classes II to IX?